

We will need the notion of equi-integrability:

Def  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  measure space,  $\mathcal{F}$  family of measurable functions  $u: X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ .

(i)  $\mathcal{F}$  is equi-integrable if  $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0$  s.t.

$$\sup_{u \in \mathcal{F}} \int_E |u| d\mu < \varepsilon$$

for every  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  with  $\mu(E) < \delta$ .

(ii)  $\mathcal{F}$  is p-equasi-integrable,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , if

$\{ |u|^p : u \in \mathcal{F}\}$  is equi-integrable.

Recall:  $1 < p \leq \infty$ ,  $\sup_m \|u_n\|_{L^p} < \infty \Rightarrow \exists$  subsequence

$\{u_{n_k}\} \subset \{u_n\}$  s.t.  $u_{n_k} \xrightarrow{*} u$  in  $L^p$  ( $\xrightarrow{*}$  if  $p = \infty$ )  
for some  $u \in L^p$ .

This is, in general, false for  $p=1$ . We need more than just  $L^1$  bounds ...

Thm [Dunford-Pettis]

$(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  measure space,  $\mathcal{F} \subset L^1(X)$ .

$\mathcal{F}$  is sequentially weakly pre-compact iff

(i)  $\mathcal{F}$  is bounded in  $L^1(X)$ ;

(ii)  $\mathcal{F}$  is equi-integrable, and if  $\mu(X) = \infty$  then

$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists E \in \mathcal{M}$  s.t.  $\mu(E) < \infty$  and

$$\sup_{u \in \mathcal{F}} \int_{X \setminus E} |u| d\mu < \varepsilon.$$

Proof See Fonseca & Leoni, Springer 2007, Thm 2.54. ■

### Decomposition Lemma in $L^1$

$(X, \mathcal{M}_\theta, \mu)$  measure space,  $u_n: X \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$  measurable,

$$\sup_m \|u_n\|_{L^1(X)} < +\infty.$$

For  $r > 0$  consider the truncation map  $\zeta_r: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined as

$$\zeta_r(z) := \begin{cases} z & \text{if } |z| \leq r, \\ \frac{z}{|z|}r & \text{if } |z| > r. \end{cases}$$

Then there exist a subsequence  $\{u_{n_k}\} \subset \{u_n\}$  and an increasing sequence of positive integers  $j_k \nearrow +\infty$  s.t.  $\{\zeta_{j_k} \circ u_{n_k}\}$  is equi-integrable and

$$\mu(\{x \in X : u_{n_k}(x) \neq (\zeta_{j_k} \circ u_{n_k})(x)\}) \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} 0.$$

Proof See Fonseca & Leoni, Springer 2007, Lemma 2.31. ■

Rmk 1) We can decompose

$$u_{n_k} = w_k + v_k$$

where  $\{w_k := \zeta_{j_k} \circ u_{n_k}\}$  is equi-integrable

$$\cdot \quad \mu(\{v_k \neq 0\}) \rightarrow 0$$

2) If  $u_n := |\nabla v_n|^p$ , with  $v_n \rightarrow v$  in  $W^{1,p}$   
for some  $1 < p < +\infty$ , applying the Decomposition  
Lemma directly on  $u_n$  would destroy the underlying  
curl = 0 constraint, i.e.,

$$u_{n_k} = w_k + \zeta_k$$

but in general  $w_k$  cannot be written as  $|\nabla z_k|^p$   
for some  $z_k \in W^{1,p}$ .

Instead, the Decomposition Lemma will be  
applied to the sequence of Maximal Functions  
of  $\{|\nabla v_n|^p + |\nabla w_n|^p\}$ .

## REMARKS ON MAXIMAL FUNCTIONS

$\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  open,  $u \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$ ,  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$  measurable,  $|E| < +\infty$ .  
Then

$$u_E := \int_E u(x) dx = \frac{1}{|E|} \int_E u(x) dx.$$

Def The Hardy-Littlewood Maximal Function of  $u$   
is given by

$$M(u)(x) := \sup_{r>0} \int_{B(x,r)} |u(y)| dy.$$

Thm 1  $u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$ .

(i)  $u(x) \leq M(u)(x) < +\infty$   $\mathcal{L}^N$  a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ;

(ii) if  $1 \leq p < +\infty$  and  $t > 0$  the

$$|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : M(u)(x) > t\}| \leq \frac{3^n 2^p}{t^p} \int_{\{|u| > t/2\}} |u(y)|^p dy;$$

(iii) if  $1 < p \leq +\infty$  then  $M(u) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and

$$\|M(u)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C(n, p) \|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Proof See Fonseca & Leoni, Springer 2007, Thm 2.91. ■

Thm 2  $u \in W^{1,p}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $1 \leq p < +\infty$ . Then

$$|u(x) - u(y)| \leq C(N) |x-y| [M(|\nabla u|)(x) + M(|\nabla u|)(y)]$$

for  $\mathcal{L}^n$  a.e.  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Thm 3  $u \in V^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $1 \leq p < +\infty$ .  $\forall t > 0$  there exists a Lipschitz function  $v: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

- $\text{Lip } v \leq C(N)t$ ;
- $u = v$   $\mathcal{L}^n$  a.e. on  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus A_t$ , where

$$A_t := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : M(|\nabla u|)(x) > t\};$$

- $|A_t| \leq \frac{C(N,p)}{t^p} \int_{\{|u| > t/2\}} |\nabla u|^p dx ;$
- $\|u - v\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N; \mathbb{R})}^p \leq C(N,p) \int_{\{|u| > t/2\}} |\nabla u|^p dx.$

Thm 4  $u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .  $\forall t > 0$   
 there exists a function  $v \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  s.t.  

- $\|v\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C(N)t$ ;
- $u = v$   $\text{fe } \mathbb{R}^N$  a.e. on  $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus D_t$ , where

$$D_t := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : M(|u| + |\nabla u|)(x) > t\};$$

- $|D_t| \leq \frac{C(N,p)}{t^p} \int_{\{|u| + |\nabla u| > t/2\}} (|u|^p + |\nabla u|^p) dx;$
- $\|u - v\|_{W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p \leq C(N,p) \int_{\{|u| + |\nabla u| > t/2\}} (|u|^p + |\nabla u|^p) dx.$